

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003

	and the second s				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/489,640	01/24/2000	James W Klett	6321-157	6076 &4	
7590 10/02/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Gregory A. Nelson			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A.					
222 Lakeview Avenue Suite 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 3188			1754		
West Palm Bear	ch, FL 33402-3188	·	DATE MAIL ED. 10/03/000	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(M_
1

	Application No.	Applicant(s) (We)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
14/1/1/2022						
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-						
Period for Reply	5					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status To Responsive to communication(s) filed on 125/03						
is it is possible to continuing additional interest of the second in						
This action is FINAL.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims	ı)					
Dt-Claim(s) SL-84, 128+7						
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)	12813	is/are allowed.				
区 Claim(s) 56,51,6000,1000	171201131	is/are rejected.				
□ Claim(s)		•				
Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved □ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	• •					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)		•				
Inf mation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	r & P □ Int	rview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice f Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	tice of Informal Pat int Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	her					
Office Action Summary						

Application/Control Number: 09/489,640

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 56, 57, 60-68, 76-84, 128, 131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hucke '421.

This is the same rejection made in paper 22, incorporated herein by reference.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The carbon may be hard due to the oxides used to promote graphitization. Due to the similarity to what is claimed, it appears that the graphite is the same. A showing of the properties resulting from varying amounts of oxide would be appropriate.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754